



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/787,339	03/16/2001	Arnoldus Werner Johannes Oomen	PHN 17,547	8265
24737 7	590 02/11/2004		EXAMI	NER
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			PATEL, GAUTAM	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·		2655	12
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/787,339	OOMEN ET AL.				
and	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gautam R. Patel	2655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 05 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avection in the propertion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the same of the	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet of the first of the fi	If extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims wo 	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an w or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-29.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		Ceffalel				
		Gautam R. Patel Primary Examiner				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants are making additional argumeents which do not render the claims allowable after the prosecution on the merit is closed..

Continuation of 10. Other: The Examiner has carefully reviewed the Applicants arguments. The Examiner stands by his reason for non-election, because claims are totally different..

OR